	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,212	WODZIANEK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Eleni A. Shiferaw</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Van Zandt</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 22, 27, 28, and 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: amending all the claims to particularly point out the invention, resolve 112 issure and cancelling a duplicated exact calim 22 or 28.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
,		
	·	
3/ 865		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		